

## Predicting Slow Network Transfers in Scientific Computing

<u>Robin Shao</u> at UC Berkeley Jinoh Kim at TAMUC Alex Sim, John Wu at LBNL



- data access performance: a key metrics in scientific computing (scientific computing often requires last-mile access of data)
- "elephant" connections: consume a significant fraction of network
  bandwidth over a long period time
- many studies on high bandwidth connections
- not a lot on low performance network (low throughput)
- throughput is the actual measure while bandwidth is the theoretical measure of how much data could be transferred



#### Motivations:

- predicted information can be used for optimizing network resources
- understanding low performance network can help identify the underlying problems and prevent unnecessary slow transfers



## Build a model to predict the low performance transfers to NERSC

#### Main sections:

- data exploration
- prediction mechanism
- experimental setting and results



- network data collected between Jan 2019 and May 2021 at NERSC
- Tstat is the format (<u>http://tstat.tlc.polito.it/measure.shtml</u>)
  - Tstat is a log format, in which each row corresponds to a different flow and each column is associated with a specific measure.
  - Client-to-Server (C2S) and Server-to-Client (S2C)
  - combine them together and only care about the origins for incoming connections and destinations for outgoing connections
- DTN1-4 (currently use DTN1 and will extend to other DTNs)



**Tstat::Measure** 



#### only **2021**:

- the latest data we got
- follow 1 year cycle
- achieved our expectation

## only dtn01:

- most transfers
- involved in more "random" transfers

### only size > 10^6 bytes:

smaller transfers can hardly be influential

## only rtt\_min > 1 ms:

- internal transfers
- not record due to the transfer direction



#### size & throughput





# country derived from IP address (GeoIP2) throughput vs. size





- calculate retransmission rate
- rate has higher correlation than size





## **Data Exploration**

#### other countries are excluded throughput vs. RTT min (RTT avg and max have lower correlation)





### **Data Exploration**

#### duration vs. size





- 1 megabits per second as the threshold
- 15.5% of the transfers are categorized as low-performance transfers
- also considered duration and retransmission



## **Feature Engineering**

#### All the new features created:

Table 1: Feature	es defined fo	or prediction
------------------	---------------	---------------

Feature	Description
prev_tput	Latest throughput measured between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
prev_size	Latest transfer size (in bytes) between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
size_ratio	Ratio between the latest transfer size (prev_size) vs. current transfer size
prev_durat	Latest transfer duration (in msec) between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
prev_min_rtt	Latest minimum RTT between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
prev_rtt	Latest average RTT between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
prev_max_rtt	Latest maximum RTT between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
prev_retx_rate	Latest retransmission rate between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")
time_gap	Time gap from the latest transfer to the current transfer between the same source and destination networks ("a.b.c.0")

only use latest transfer to maintain time efficiency create size\_ratio and time\_gap to identify similarities between current and previous transfer



## **Feature Engineering**

#### Some of the important features:





#### Labels:

create labels with thresholds at 1mbps, 10bps, 100kbps

### **Features:**

- have already had size and country (from IP address)
- created those previous features



#### **Problem:**

 binary classification models to predict the low-performance transfers from different data origins to NERSC

### **Algorithms tried:**

decision trees, random forests, SVM, XGBoost, and neural network

will consider deep learning methods like LSTM and transformer



#### **Metrics:**

- F1 score
  - a harmonic mean of Precision and Recall
  - F1 = 2 \* (precision \* recall) / (precision + recall)

## Train-test-split:

- train: Jan-April 21
- test: May 21



### **Baseline model**

#### Intuition:

 If the latest transfer is slow, the current one may also be slow

Feature: prev\_tput

Performance:
 0.77





## **Decision Tree**





Compared with **model without new features**: Features: **size, country** F1-score: **0.826** < 0.913

Compared with full **model with all the features**: Features: **all the features available** F1-score: **0.891** < 0.913

new features and feature selection improve the performance



#### Intuition:

• improving a single tree model by combining it with a number of other tree models in order to generate a collectively strong model

## Algo Basics:

- use error residuals of previous model to fit the next model
- final prediction is a weighted sum of all the tree predictions
  Performance (with tuning):

0.913



Input

- Random Forest (with tuning)
- Fully Connected Neural Net
- SVM (Support Vector Machine)



- LSTM (Long Short-term Memory)
- Transformer





- the prediction result presented in throughput vs. size plot
- orange dots are predicted to be non-slow & blue dots are slow
- red line is the boundary





## # of occurrence in top100 combinations:

- size
- prev\_durat
- prev\_tput
- prev\_rtt\_min
- prev\_size
- size\_ratio
- prev\_retx\_rate
- country





Contributions:

- got interesting findings through data exploration
- achieved 0.913 F1-score for predicting low performance transfers at 1 Mbps threshold
- achieved as high as 0.945 F1-score at 10 Mbps threshold
- identified important features in determining low-performance transfers

Next Steps:

- explore more advanced algorithms
- explore performance differences on different DTNs in different years



## Thanks